Time-Dependent Density-Functional Theory for Superconductors

O.-J. Wacker, R. Kümmel, and E. K. U. Gross

Physikalisches Institut der Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany
(Received 27 October 1993)

A density-functional theory is established for strongly correlated inhomogeneous superconductors subject to time-dependent external scalar, vector, and pairing potentials. Hohenberg-Kohn and Kohn-Sham type theorems are formulated for gauge-invariant densities. The central result is a set of time-dependent Bogoliubov—de Gennes equations which include exchange-correlation effects.

PACS numbers: 74.20.Fg, 71.27.+a

Dynamic charge transport phenomena observed in conventional [1–5] and strongly correlated inhomogeneous superconductors [6–8] have been interpreted theoretically using results from time-dependent mean-field theories [9–14]. Among these theories the time-dependent Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations have been especially useful in clarifying effects due to Andreev scattering [12–15]. However, they do not include exchange-correlation effects. Thus, there has remained some uncertainty so far whether considerations based on their solutions are pertinent to high-temperature and heavy-fermion [16] superconductors.

The purpose of this Letter is the presentation of a time-dependent density-functional theory for superconductors. The resulting time-dependent Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations, which take into account exchange-correlation effects, are suitable for the description of dynamic processes in strongly correlated inhomogeneous superconductors.

Our approach is based on methods from the time-dependent density-functional theory for nonsuperconducting systems [17–20] combined with ideas from time-independent density-functional theory for superconductors [21–24]. The central result of the latter is a set of stationary Bogoliubov—de Gennes equations that formally include all correlation effects via an exchange-correlation functional. They have recently been solved numerically with a phenomenological approximation for the exchange-correlation functional [25].

We consider superconductors described by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{V,A,D}(t) = \hat{H}_K(t) + \hat{V}(t) + \hat{D}(t) + \hat{D}^{\dagger}(t) + \hat{W}(t),$$
(1)

where

$$\hat{H}_K(t) = \frac{1}{2m} \int d^3r \, \psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}, t) \left(\hat{\mathbf{p}} + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t)\right)^2 \psi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}, t), \qquad (2)$$

$$\hat{V}(t) = \int d^3r \, V(\mathbf{r}, t) \psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}, t) \psi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}, t), \qquad (3)$$

$$\hat{D}(t) = -\int d^3r \, D(\mathbf{r}, t) \psi_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}, t) \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}, t) , \qquad (4)$$

$$\hat{W}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int \int d^3 r_1 d^3 r_2 \psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}_1, t) \psi_{\sigma'}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}_2, t) w(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$$

$$\times \psi_{\sigma'}(\mathbf{r}_2, t) \psi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}_1, t) .$$
(5)

The $\psi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r},t)$ are the usual electronic field operators in the Heisenberg picture. Summation over double spin indices σ is implied. The system is subject to three timedependent external fields: the vector potential A(r, t), the scalar potential $V(\mathbf{r},t)$, and the pairing potential $D(\mathbf{r},t)$ [22], which can be viewed as being induced by an adjacent superconductor via the proximity effect [21]. The local interaction $w(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = w_c(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) - \delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)w_g(\mathbf{r}_1)$ is assumed to consist of a repulsive, e.g., Coulomb, term $w_c(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ and the spatially varying attractive Gorkov point contact interaction $w_p(\mathbf{r}_1)$ [26,27]. The time-independent many-body state of the system (in the Heisenberg picture) which does not have to be the ground state is given by the initial state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ at the initial time t_0 . The dynamics of the system is determined by the Heisenberg equation of motion $i(\partial/\partial t)\psi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r},t) = [\psi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r},t),\hat{H}_{V,\mathbf{A},D}(t)]_{-}$ for the field operators, which results in a unique mapping F of the potentials on the field operators:

$$F: (V(\mathbf{r}, t), \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t), D(\mathbf{r}, t)) \to \psi_{\sigma}^{(\dagger)}(\mathbf{r}, t). \tag{6}$$

The crucial step in the formulation of any density-functional theory is in the identification of the appropriate densities for which a Hohenberg-Kohn-like theorem can be proved. For this purpose we employ the current density $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t) \equiv \langle \Psi_0 | \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r},t) | \Psi_0 \rangle$, $\hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r},t)$ being the usual current-density operator in the Heisenberg picture, and the anomalous density

$$\Delta_{IP}(\mathbf{r},t) = \langle \Psi_0 | \psi_1(\mathbf{r},t) \psi_1(\mathbf{r},t) e^{2i \int_{t_0}^t dt' \, V(\mathbf{r},t')} | \Psi_0 \rangle, \quad (7)$$

which are invariant under the gauge transformation $\psi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r},t) \rightarrow \psi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r},t) \exp[-ie\lambda(\mathbf{r},t)/c]$, and the corresponding transformations for V, A, and D, so that the action involving $\hat{H}_{V,A,D}(t)$ of Eq. (1) is gauge invariant. For the gauge function $\lambda(\mathbf{r},t)$ we choose the initial value $\lambda(\mathbf{r},t_0)=0 \mod(2\pi c/e)$. This class of transformations leaves the Heisenberg field operators and thus all physical properties of the system unchanged at the initial time t_0 . The choice of the anomalous density $\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}(\mathbf{r},t)$ is partly motivated by the fact that gauge-invariant phases (IP)

appear in the Josephson equations for superconducting weak links.

The central Hohenberg-Kohn-like statement to be proved subsequently is as follows.

Theorem I: The densities $(\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t), \Delta_{IP}(\mathbf{r},t))$ and $(\mathbf{j}'(\mathbf{r},t), \Delta'_{IP}(\mathbf{r},t))$ which evolve from a common initial state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ under the influence of two sets of potentials $(V(\mathbf{r},t), \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t), D(\mathbf{r},t))$ and $(V'(\mathbf{r},t), \mathbf{A}'(\mathbf{r},t), D'(\mathbf{r},t))$, differing by more than a gauge transformation with $\lambda(\mathbf{r},t_0)=0$, are always different, provided the potentials can be expanded in Taylor series around the initial time t_0 .

Since we are working with gauge-invariant densities, the proof of the theorem can be carried out in a particular gauge where the scalar potentials vanish. We indicate the potentials in this gauge by a tilde. Thus we have to show that

$$(0, \tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}, t), \tilde{D}(\mathbf{r}, t)) \neq (0, \tilde{\mathbf{A}}'(\mathbf{r}, t), \tilde{D}'(\mathbf{r}, t))$$
 (8)

implies

$$(\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t),\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}(\mathbf{r},t)) \neq (\mathbf{j}'(\mathbf{r},t),\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}'(\mathbf{r},t)).$$
 (9)

If $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r},t_0) \neq \tilde{\mathbf{A}}'(\mathbf{r},t_0)$, then the statement of the theorem is trivially true since $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t_0) \neq \mathbf{j}'(\mathbf{r},t_0)$. Otherwise, following Runge and Gross [17], we observe that the potentials in Eq. (8) are different if their Taylor coefficients are not the same. Thus

$$(\partial^k/\partial t^k)[\tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r},t) - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}'(\mathbf{r},t)]|_{t=t_0} \begin{cases} = 0, & k < l \\ \neq 0, & 1 \le k = l \end{cases}$$
(10)

and

$$(\partial^{k}/\partial t^{k})[\tilde{D}(\mathbf{r},t) - \tilde{D}'(\mathbf{r},t)]|_{t=t_{0}} \begin{cases} = 0, & k < m \\ \neq 0, & 0 \le k = m \end{cases}$$
(11)

must be satisfied with suitable integers l and m. If $l < \infty$, m may be infinite; if $m < \infty$, l may be infinite, i.e., it is sufficient that either the vector or the pair potentials are different. If (10) and (11) are satisfied with $m \ge l$, we calculate the lth time derivative of the current densities $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t)$ and $\mathbf{j}'(\mathbf{r},t)$ by applying the Heisenberg equation of motion l times. Taking the difference at the initial time t_0 , we obtain with the help of Eqs. (10) and (11)

$$\left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{l} [\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t) - \mathbf{j}'(\mathbf{r},t)]|_{t=t_{0}} = \frac{e}{mc} n(\mathbf{r},t_{0}) \left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{l} [\tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r},t) - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}'(\mathbf{r},t)]|_{t=t_{0}} \neq 0, \quad (12)$$

where $n(\mathbf{r}, t_0)$ is the particle density at t_0 . If (10) and (11) are satisfied with m < l, the same procedure applied to the anomalous densities $\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\Delta'_{\mathrm{IP}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ results in

$$\left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{m+1} \left[\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}(\mathbf{r},t) - \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}'(\mathbf{r},t)\right]_{t=t_0}
= \langle \Psi_0 | \psi_1^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r},t_0) \psi_1(\mathbf{r},t_0) - \psi_1(\mathbf{r},t_0) \psi_1^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r},t_0) | \Psi_0 \rangle \left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^m
\times \left[\tilde{D}(\mathbf{r},t) - \tilde{D}'(\mathbf{r},t)\right]_{t=t_0} \neq 0. \quad (13)$$

The prefactor of the *m*th time derivative can be expressed by the particle density and the Dirac δ function and is equal to $n(\mathbf{r},t) - \delta(0) \neq 0$. The occurrence of $\delta(0)$ is the usual consequence of assuming a local pair potential [28]. For the present purpose it is sufficient that the prefactor is nonzero in the distributional sense. As a consequence of Eqs. (12) and (13), the set of densities $(\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t),\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}(\mathbf{r},t))$ will differ from the set of densities $(\mathbf{j}'(\mathbf{r},t),\Delta'_{\mathrm{IP}}(\mathbf{r},t))$ at times infinitesimally later than t_0 . Hence they are different. This proves Theorem I, i.e., in a given gauge, the potentials are unique functionals $V[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$, $A[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{IP}]$, and $D[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$ of the densities.

By virtue of the mapping (6), the field operators are functionals of the potentials $V(\mathbf{r},t)$, $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t)$, and $D(\mathbf{r},t)$. As a consequence of Theorem I they can, alternatively, be considered as functionals of the densities, too. Thus all observable quantities represented by the expectation values with respect to $|\Psi_0\rangle$ of gauge-invariant operators $\hat{O}(\mathbf{r},t)$ are unique functionals of the densities, $\langle \hat{O}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle \equiv O[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}](\mathbf{r},t)$. In particular, the particle density $n[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}](\mathbf{r},t)$ is a unique functional.

On the basis of Theorem I we now derive a variational principle. To this end we consider the quantum mechanical action

$$Q = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r \left\{ \psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}, t) \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}, t) \right) - \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}, t) \right) \psi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}, t) \right\} - \hat{H}_{V_0, A_0, D_0}(t) \right\rangle, \quad (14)$$

where V_0 , A_0 , and D_0 are given potentials characterizing the time-dependent system at hand. V_0 , A_0 , and D_0 are to be distinguished from the potentials $V[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$, $A[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$, and $D[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$, corresponding, by Theorem I, to an arbitrary pair of densities $(\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}})$. With these preliminaries the variational principle can be stated as follows.

Theorem II: The action Q can be written as a unique functional $Q_{V_0,A_0,D_0}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$ of the densities \mathbf{j} and Δ_{IP} . In terms of the potentials $V[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$, $A[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$, $D[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$, and the density $n[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$, the action functional is

$$Q_{V_0,\mathbf{A}_0,D_0}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\text{IP}}] = B[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\text{IP}}] - P_{V_0,\mathbf{A}_0}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\text{IP}}] + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int d^3r \left[D_0(\mathbf{r},t)e^{2i\int_{t_0}^t dt' V_0(\mathbf{r},t')} \Delta_{\text{IP}}^*(\mathbf{r},t) + \text{c.c.}\right],$$
(15)

with

$$P_{V_0,\mathbf{A}_0}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}] = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int d^3r \left\{ \left(V_0(\mathbf{r},t) + \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \mathbf{A}_0^2(\mathbf{r},t) \right) n[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}](\mathbf{r},t) + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}_0(\mathbf{r},t) \cdot \left(\mathbf{j}(r,t) - \frac{e}{mc} n[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}](\mathbf{r},t) \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}](\mathbf{r},t) \right) \right\},$$
(16)

where the gauge has been chosen such that $V[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}](\mathbf{r}, t)$ equals the given scalar potential $V_0(\mathbf{r}, t)$. $B[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$ is a universal functional depending only on the interaction \hat{W} but not on the external potentials V_0 , A_0 , and D_0 of the particular system considered. $Q_{V_0, A_0, D_0}[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$ is stationary for the actual densities \mathbf{j}^0 and Δ_{IP}^0 , corresponding to the given potentials V_0 , A_0 , and D_0 , i.e., the actual densities can be computed from the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\frac{\delta Q_{V_0, \mathbf{A}_0, D_0}[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]}{\delta \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)} \bigg|_{j^0, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^0} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta Q_{V_0, \mathbf{A}_0, D_0}[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]}{\delta \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^*(\mathbf{r}, t)} \bigg|_{j^0, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^0} = 0.$$
 (17)

The proof of the theorem, not reproduced here, follows the reasoning of Runge and Gross [17], with the universal functional $B[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$ defined as

$$B[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}] = R[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}] - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \, \langle \hat{W}[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}](t) \rangle \tag{18}$$

with

$$R[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int d^3r \langle \psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}] \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}^2}{2m} \right) \psi_{\sigma}[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}] \rangle + \text{c.c.}$$
(19)

As usual in density-functional theory a particularly useful consequence of the variational Theorem II is the possibility of computing the densities of the interacting system as densities of a noninteracting system with appropriate single-particle potentials. This is stated by the following Kohn-Sham-like theorem.

Theorem III: There exist unique functionals $V_s[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$, $\mathbf{A}_s[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$, and $D_s[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$ such that the densities

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{n} \left[\frac{1}{mi} v_n(\mathbf{r},t) \nabla v_n^*(\mathbf{r},t) + \text{c.c.} \right] + \frac{e}{mc} \mathbf{A}_{s,0}(\mathbf{r},t) \sum_{n} 2v_n(\mathbf{r},t) v_n^*(\mathbf{r},t)$$
(20)

and

$$\Delta_{\rm IP}(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{n} u_n(\mathbf{r},t) v_n^*(\mathbf{r},t) e^{2i \int_{t_0}^t dt' \, V_{s,0}(\mathbf{r},t')},\tag{21}$$

resulting from the solutions $u_n(\mathbf{r},t)$ and $v_n(\mathbf{r},t)$ of the time-dependent single-particle equations

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix} u_n(\mathbf{r},t) \\ v_n(\mathbf{r},t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2m} \left[\hat{\mathbf{p}} + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}_{s,0}(\mathbf{r},t) \right]^2 + V_{s,0}(\mathbf{r},t) & D_{s,0}(\mathbf{r},t) \\ D_{s,0}^*(\mathbf{r},t) & -\frac{1}{2m} \left[\hat{\mathbf{p}} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}_{s,0}(\mathbf{r},t) \right]^2 - V_{s,0}(\mathbf{r},t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_n(\mathbf{r},t) \\ v_n(\mathbf{r},t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

are identical with the densities \mathbf{j}^0 and Δ_{IP}^0 of the interacting system at T=0 K. Here, $V_{s,0}$, $\mathbf{A}_{s,0}$, $D_{s,0}$ is a shorthand for $V_s[\mathbf{j}^0, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^0]$, $\mathbf{A}_s[\mathbf{j}^0, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^0]$, and $D_s[\mathbf{j}^0, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^0]$, respectively.

In order to prove this theorem, we first consider a system of noninteracting particles ($\hat{W}=0$) moving in external potentials $V_s(\mathbf{r},t)$, $\mathbf{A}_s(r,t)$, and $D_s(\mathbf{r},t)$. The action functional of this noninteracting system is given by

$$Q_{V_{s},A_{s},D_{s}}^{s}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{1P}] = R^{s}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{1P}] - P_{V_{s},A_{s}}^{s}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{1P}] + \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} dt \int d^{3}r \left[D_{s}(\mathbf{r},t)e^{2i\int_{t_{0}}^{t} dt' V_{s}(\mathbf{r},t')} \Delta_{1P}^{*}(\mathbf{r},t) + \text{c.c.}\right], \quad (23)$$

where $R^s[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$ and $P^s_{V_s, \mathbf{A}_s}[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$ are the noninteracting analogs of the functionals (19) and (16). Theorem II is valid for any given particle-particle interaction \hat{W} , in particular also for the special case $\hat{W} \equiv 0$, i.e., for noninteracting particles. As a consequence, the potentials $V_{s,0}$, $\mathbf{A}_{s,0}$, and $D_{s,0}$ which reproduce the densities \mathbf{j}^0 and Δ^0_{IP} of the interacting system must satisfy

$$\frac{\delta Q_{V_{s,0},\mathbf{A}_{s,0},D_{s,0}}^{s}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]}{\delta \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t)} \bigg|_{i^{0},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^{0}} = 0, \frac{\delta Q_{V_{s,0},\mathbf{A}_{s,0},D_{s,0}}^{s}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]}{\delta \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^{*}(\mathbf{r},t)} \bigg|_{i^{0},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^{0}} = 0.$$
(24)

Equations (23) and (24) are valid in any gauge. To make contact with the interacting functional (15) we now fix the gauge such that $V_{s,0} = V_0$. Defining a *universal* exchange-correlation functional by

$$Q_{xc}[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}] = R^{s}[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}] - B[\mathbf{j}, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}] + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int d^3r \, \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}(\mathbf{r}, t) w_g(\mathbf{r}) \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^*(\mathbf{r}, t), \qquad (25)$$

the action functional (15) of the interacting system can be written as

$$Q_{V_0,\mathbf{A}_0,D_0}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}] \equiv R^s[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}] - P_{V_0,\mathbf{A}_0}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}] - Q_{xc}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]$$

+
$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int d^3r \{ [D_0(\mathbf{r}, t)e^{2i\int_{t_0}^t dt' V_0(r, t')} \Delta_{IP}^*(\mathbf{r}, t) + \text{c.c.}] + \Delta_{IP}(\mathbf{r}, t)w_g(r)\Delta_{IP}^*(\mathbf{r}, t) \}.$$
 (26)

The explicit form of $A_{s,0}$ and $D_{s,0}$ is then determined by equating the variational equations (17) of the interacting system with those of the noninteracting system (24). By virtue of Eq. (26) one obtains

$$\frac{\delta P_{V_0,\mathbf{A}_{x,0}}^{s}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]}{\delta \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t)}\bigg|_{j^0,\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^0} = \frac{\delta P_{V_0,\mathbf{A}_0}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]}{\delta \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t)}\bigg|_{j^0,\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^0} + \frac{\delta Q_{xc}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]}{\delta \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t)}\bigg|_{j^0,\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^0},\tag{27}$$

$$D_{s,0}(\mathbf{r},t)e^{2i\int_{t_0}^{t}dt'\,V_0(\mathbf{r},t')} - \frac{\delta P_{V_0,\mathbf{A}_{t,0}}^{s}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]}{\delta \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^{s}(\mathbf{r},t)} \Big|_{j^0,\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^{0}} = w_{g}(\mathbf{r})\,\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^{0}(\mathbf{r},t) + D_{0}(\mathbf{r},t)e^{2i\int_{t_0}^{t}dt'\,V_0(\mathbf{r},t')} \\ - \frac{\delta P_{V_0,\mathbf{A}_0}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]}{\delta \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^{s}(\mathbf{r},t)} \Big|_{j^0,\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^{0}} - \frac{\delta Q_{xc}[\mathbf{j},\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}]}{\delta \Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^{s}(\mathbf{r},t)} \Big|_{j^0,\Delta_{\mathrm{IP}}^{0}}.$$
(28)

Equations (27) and (28) are integral equations defining the potentials $A_{s,0}$ and $D_{s,0}$. Together with Eq. (22) they constitute the time-dependent Bogoliubov-de Gennes Kohn-Sham scheme. The structure of the whole set of equations is evidently quite involved. However, one point should be emphasized: The mere fact that Eq. (22) can be derived rigorously for strongly correlated systems implies that the dynamic effects observed in inhomogeneous systems involving high-temperature superconductors can be related to Andreev scattering in a theoretically well founded way.

The authors gratefully acknowledge support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

- M. Octavio, W.J. Skocpol, and M. Tinkham, Phys. Rev. B 17, 159 (1978).
- [2] W. Klein, R. P. Huebener, S. Gauss, and J. Parisi, J. Low Temp. Phys. 61, 413 (1985).
- [3] M. Ohta and T. Matsui, Physica (Amsterdam) **185–189C**, 2581 (1991).
- [4] J. Nitta, H. Nakano, T. Akazaki, and H. Takayanagi, in Single-Electron Tunneling and Mesoscopic Devices, edited by H. Koch and H. Lübbig (Springer, Berlin, 1992), pp. 295–298.
- [5] G. Fischer and K. Keck, Z. Phys. B 92, 187 (1993).
- [6] M. I. Petrov, S. N. Krivomazov, B. P. Khrustalev, and K. S. Aleksandrov, Solid State Commun. 82, 453 (1992).
- [7] U. Zimmermann, K. Keck, and A. Thierauf, Z. Phys. B 87, 275 (1992).
- [8] E. Polturak, G. Koren, D. Cohen, and E. Aharoni, Phys. Rev. B 47, 5270 (1993).
- [9] K. K. Likharev, Rev. Mod. Phys. 51, 101 (1979), and references therein.
- [10] S. N. Artemenko, A. F. Volkov, and A. V. Zaitsev, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 76, 1816 (1979) [Sov. Phys.-JETP 49, 924 (1979)].

- [11] A. Schmid, G. Schön, and M. Tinkham, Phys. Rev. B 21, 5076 (1980).
- [12] G. E. Blonder, M. Tinkham, and T. M. Klapwijk, Phys. Rev. B 25, 4515 (1982).
- [13] R. Kümmel, U. Gunsenheimer, and R. Nicolsky, Phys. Rev. B 42, 3992 (1990).
- [14] S. Hofmann and R. Kümmel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1319 (1993), and references therein.
- [15] T.P. Devereaux and P. Fulde, Phys. Rev. B 47, 14638 (1993).
- [16] P. Fulde, J. Keller, and G. Zwicknagel, in *Solid State Physics*, edited by H. Ehrenreich and D. Turnbull (Academic Press, San Diego, 1988), Vol. 41, p. 1.
- [17] E. Runge and E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 997 (1984).
- [18] E. K. U. Gross and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 2850 (1985).
- [19] E. K. U. Gross and W. Kohn, Adv. Quantum Chem. 21, 255 (1990).
- [20] S.K. Ghosh and A.K. Dhara, Phys. Rev. A 38, 1149 (1988).
- [21] L.N. Oliveira, E.K.U. Gross, and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 2430 (1988).
- [22] W. Kohn, E. K. U. Gross, and L. N. Oliveira, J. Phys. (Paris) 50, 2601 (1989).
- [23] E. K. U. Gross and S. Kurth, Int. J. Quantum Chem. Symp. 25, 289 (1991).
- [24] E. K. U. Gross and S. Kurth, in *Relativistic and Electron Correlation Effects in Molecules and Solids*, edited by G. L. Malli (Plenum, New York, 1994), p. 367.
- [25] M. B. Suvasini, W. M. Temmermann, and B. L. Gyorffy, Phys. Rev. B 48, 1202 (1993).
- [26] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinski, Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1963), p. 283.
- [27] G. Rickayzen, Theory of Superconductivity (Interscience, New York, 1965), p. 185.
- [28] Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. 117, 648 (1960).