Epitaxial heterostructures and superlattices (SLs) of perovskite oxides with different physical properties are an exciting playground, often leading to outstanding physical behavior which cannot be met in the individual compounds. For example, the intriguing magnetic interlayer coupling between manganites, such as La$_{0.7}$Sr$_{0.3}$MnO$_3$ for which the magnetic interlayer coupling behavior cannot be met in the individual compounds. Citing playground, often leading to outstanding physical behaviors are an exciting playground, often leading to outstanding physical behavior which cannot be met in the individual compounds. For example, the intriguing magnetic interlayer coupling between manganites, such as La$_{0.7}$Sr$_{0.3}$MnO$_3$ (LSMO) and SrRuO$_3$ (SRO) in epitaxial bilayers and SLs were studied both experimentally and theoretically. From ab initio calculations the antiferromagnetic (AF) interlayer coupling between LSMO and SRO was shown to be mediated by the Mn–O–Ru bond; the AF interlayer coupling depended sensitively on interfacial intermixing and could be controlled using the intricate interplay between structure, magnetocrystalline anisotropy, magnitude of the layer magnetization, and layer thickness. Additionally, Weigand et al. reported that the Ru sublattice has AF coupling to the Mn sublattice in the same system but for different structural symmetry. High-quality Pr$_{0.7}$Ca$_{0.3}$MnO$_3$/SrRuO$_3$ superlattices were fabricated by pulsed laser deposition and were investigated by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy and superconducting quantum interference device magnetometry. Superlattices with orthorhombic and tetragonal SrRuO$_3$ layers were investigated. The superlattices grew coherently; in the growth direction Pr$_{0.7}$Ca$_{0.3}$MnO$_3$ layers were terminated by MnO$_2$ and SrRuO$_3$ layers by RuO$_2$-planes. All superlattices showed antiferromagnetic interlayer coupling in low magnetic fields. The coupling strength was significantly higher for orthorhombic than for tetragonal symmetry of the SrRuO$_3$ layers. The strong interlayer exchange coupling in the superlattice with orthorhombic SrRuO$_3$ layers led to a magnetization reversal mechanism with a partially inverted hysteresis loop. © 2011 American Institute of Physics.

**TABLE I.** Layer thicknesses and Curie temperatures of the SLs and single PCMO films. Curie temperatures of the PCMO layers were determined from magnetization, those of the SRO layers from resistivity measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>[PCMO/SRO]$_{15}$</th>
<th>$T_c$ (SRO) (K)</th>
<th>$T_c$ (PCMO) (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SL1</td>
<td>[1.5 nm/4.4 nm]</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>$\approx$ 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL2</td>
<td>[3.0 nm/4.0 nm]</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>$\approx$ 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL3</td>
<td>[3.8 nm/4.0 nm]</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>$\approx$ 115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCMO1</td>
<td>5 nm</td>
<td>$\cdots$</td>
<td>$\approx$ 110–115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCMO2</td>
<td>35 nm</td>
<td>$\cdots$</td>
<td>$\approx$ 115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
domains are expected to occur.\textsuperscript{12,13} With respect to the cubic SrTiO\textsubscript{3} (001)\textsubscript{c} substrate the orthorhombic SRO layers had an epitaxial relation with [110]\textsubscript{o}||[001]\textsubscript{c}, [001]\textsubscript{o}||[100]\textsubscript{c}, [1\overline{1}0]\textsubscript{o}||[010]\textsubscript{c}, and the tetragonal SRO layers with [001]\textsubscript{t}||[001]\textsubscript{c}, [1\overline{1}0]\textsubscript{t}||[100]\textsubscript{c}, [110]\textsubscript{t}||[010]\textsubscript{c}. The PCMO layers grew with [110]\textsubscript{o} along the substrate normal but showed two crystallographic domains with the $c$-axis either along [100]\textsubscript{c} or [010]\textsubscript{c}.

Figure 2(a) shows the magnetization versus temperature curves of both the 35 and 5 nm thick PCMO single films. The magnetization was measured on field cooling (FC) in an in-plane field of 0.01 T, respectively, as well as in remanence (REM) during warming after removal of the magnetic field. In the weak magnetic field of 0.01 T both samples show a small ferromagnet-like magnetic moment below about 115 K, although the magnetization onset is very gradual in case of the 5 nm thick PCMO film. The Curie temperature was determined from the onset of the FC magnetization measured in 0.01 T and was found to be about 115 K for the 35 nm and in the range between 110–115 K for the 5 nm thick PCMO film. The remanent magnetization at low temperature is a sizeable fraction of the FC magnetization since the magnetically hard axis is along the surface normal.

Figure 2(b) shows the magnetization hysteresis loops of both films after correcting for the diamagnetic substrate contribution and the paramagnetic contribution from the Pr ions. Both films show a ferromagnetic signal; saturation is reached only in large fields of the order of 2 T. Compared to the spin-only moment of Mn of 3.7 $\mu_B$/u.c., both films show reduced saturation moments in agreement with Ref. \textsuperscript{8}. In summary, the PCMO films studied here show weak ferromagnetism with a Curie temperature between 110 and 115 K, i.e., lower than the Curie temperature of the SRO layers of about 143 K.

The low field (0.001 T) (FC) magnetization curves of the SLs are shown in Fig. 3 as a function of temperature. The magnetization curves of SL2 and SL3 with tetragonal SRO layers are similar with a dip at about 110 K. Since this feature is absent in the magnetization of both PCMO single films as well as SRO single films,\textsuperscript{14} we interpret this magnetization dip as a signature of AF interlayer coupling between the SRO and PCMO layers. On cooling the SLs, first the SRO layers order ferromagnetically below about 143 K; below about 115 K the PCMO layers also start to order ferromagnetically but orient their magnetization antiparallel to the SRO magnetization, thus causing a dip in the overall magnetic moment. In Fig. 3(c) the magnetization of SL1 with orthorhombic SRO layers is shown. Here the phenomenology is somewhat different since a peak is observed in the overall magnetic moment indicating the onset of the AF interlayer coupling. SL1 showed a magnetization maximum both when measured along the magnetically hard [001]\textsubscript{o} and easy [110]\textsubscript{o} in-plane direction, albeit the magnetization values were strongly and the maximum temperatures were slightly different. This proves that the maximum is not due to a specific magnetization process.

Having observed AF interlayer coupling in the PCMO/SRO SLs in low magnetic fields, a qualitative measure of the AF coupling strength was obtained by measuring the temperature-dependent magnetization in higher applied fields (not shown). Whereas SL2 and SL3 with tetragonal SRO layers do not show any sign of AF coupling in magnetic fields of 0.1 T and above, the maximum in the magnetization of SL1 persists up to at least 1 T. This indicates that the AF
interlayer coupling is stronger in the SL with orthorhombic SRO layers than in those with tetragonal SRO layers.

The latter conclusion is corroborated by the magnetization hysteresis loops shown in Fig. 4. The hysteresis loops for in-plane applied fields show a magnetically soft (PCMO) and hard (SRO) component in case of SL2 and SL3; the magnetization reversal occurs in a two-step process with the magnetically soft layer reversing first and with no obvious indication of a strong AF coupling. In contrast, in SL1 with orthorhombic SRO layers the in-plane hysteresis loop has an inverted central part, i.e., the PCMO layer that is reversing first is so strongly exchange coupled to the SRO layers that the reversal already occurs for positive fields. This inverted hysteresis loop was also observed for a LSMO/SRO SL (Ref. 15) and for the exchange-spring system DyFe$_2$/YFe$_2$. The out-of-plane magnetization loops show some hysteresis indicating that the magnetic easy axis lies under some angle with respect to the film plane.

In summary, high-quality PCMO/SRO SLs and single PCMO thin films were grown on SrTiO$_3$ substrates by pulsed laser deposition. The PCMO single films show ferromagnetic behavior with a Curie temperature in the range 110 to 115 K depending on film thickness. AF interlayer exchange coupling was observed in the SLs in low magnetic fields. The strength of the AF interlayer exchange coupling depends on the crystallographic symmetry of the SRO layers and is larger for orthorhombic SRO than for tetragonal SRO layers. This is consistent with the magnetization reversal mechanism observed in the SLs that leads to inverted hysteresis loops in case of strong AF interlayer exchange coupling. The structural transition of the SRO layers changes the magnetocrystalline anisotropy and therefore, also the spin structure at the interface. Probably the Ru and Mn spins at the interface are more collinear in case of orthorhombic SRO symmetry leading to a stronger AF exchange coupling.
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