Double photoionization of He at 80 eV excess energy in the equal-energy-sharing condition
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The high flux of the gas-phase beamline at the Elettra storage ring, Trieste (Italy), and the efficiency of a multicoincidence end station have been combined to measure the triple-differential cross section (TDCS) for the double photoionization (DPI) of He at excess energies \( E = 20 \) and 80 eV. The TDCS has been measured in the equal-energy-sharing kinematics, in which the kinetic energies of the two photoelectrons are equal \( E_1 = E_2 = E/2 \). The experiments are compared with the predictions of a many-body Coulomb wave method and a convergent close-coupling calculation. The symmetrized gerade amplitudes of the He (DPI) extracted by the experiments as well as by the two calculations have been fitted by a Gaussian function. The results support the validity of the parametrization of the gerade amplitude via only one parameter, the full width at half maximum \( \sigma_{1/2} \), of the Gaussian function, up to 80 eV above threshold.
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The double photoionization (DPI) of He represents the archetype of the three-body problem (two interacting electrons coupled to a positive structureless residual ion). The complete characterization of the process implies the detection of the two photoelectrons in coincidence after energy and angular selection. In such an experiment the triply differential cross section \( d^3\sigma/d\Omega_1 d\Omega_2 dE_1 \) (TDCS), i.e., a cross section differential in the angles of emission of the two photoelectrons \( \Omega_1 = (\vartheta_1, \varphi_1) \) and \( \Omega_2 = (\vartheta_2, \varphi_2) \) and in one kinetic energy, is measured. The kinetic energy \( E_2 \) of the other electron is determined by energy conservation \( h\nu - V(\text{He}^{2+}) = E_1 + E_2 \), where \( V(\text{He}^{2+}) = 79.004 \) eV is the He double-ionization potential [1] and \( E \) is the excess energy. In an alternative experimental approach the TDCS can also be obtained by detecting in coincidence the \( \text{He}^{2+} \) recoil ion and one of the two photoelectrons, as in the COLTRIMS method [2]. The value of the total DPI cross section for \( \text{He} \) \( (\approx 8.76 \times 10^{-21} \) and \( 3.83 \times 10^{-21} \) cm\(^2\) at 20 and 80 eV above threshold, respectively [3]), the need of an energetic and tunable photon source, and the intrinsic difficulties of coincidence experiments have hampered the measurements of the TDCS for a long time. The first experimental measurement of the He TDCS was performed by Schwarzkopf et al. [4] at \( E = 20 \) eV in the equal-energy-sharing condition \( E_1 = E_2 = E/2 \). Since then several measurements have been reported in the literature (see Briggs and Schmidt [5] for a review of the data up to 2000 and Kheifets and Bray [6] for more recent data). On the theoretical side, considerable progress has been made in the last few years in the description of the three-body Coulomb problem; however, a universally applicable theory is still missing. Experimentally, at a fixed incident energy the TDCS can be measured under a variety of kinematic conditions (changing the energy sharing between the electrons and/or their angles of emission). This results in a large body of data and hampers direct comparisons among different sets of data and between experiment and theory.

By considering the invariance with respect to rotation around the electric-vector direction of the incident radiation and the general properties of the spherical harmonics, the TDCS can be separated into geometrical factors and dynamical parameters [7]. This leads to a form of the TDCS that in the case of incident radiation that propagates along the \( z \) axis and is fully linearly polarized along the \( x = \varepsilon z \) axis can be written as [7]

\[
\frac{d^3\sigma}{d\Omega_1 d\Omega_2 dE_1} \propto |a_g(E_1, E_2, \vartheta_{12}) (\cos \vartheta_1 + \cos \vartheta_2) + a_a(E_1, E_2, \vartheta_{12}) (\cos \vartheta_1 - \cos \vartheta_2)|^2,
\]

where \( \vartheta_1 \) and \( \vartheta_2 \) are the angles of emission of the two photoelectrons with respect to \( \varepsilon \) and \( \vartheta_{12} \) is the angle between the directions of emission of the two photoelectrons. The complex amplitudes \( a_g \) and \( a_a \) are, respectively, symmetric and antisymmetric relative to the exchange of \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \). The \( \vartheta_{12} \) and \( E \) dependence of these amplitudes includes all the physical information on the dynamics of the process, i.e., the effects of the electron-electron and electron–residual-ion interactions. Thus it is of considerable interest to find a suitable representation of these amplitudes that may facilitate comparisons across a variety of reaction kinematics [6,8–10].

In the case of equal-energy-sharing experiments \( a_a = 0 \); thus the TDCS reduces to a simple form. Since the first mea-
measurements of the TDCCS the symmetric amplitude \( a_s \), often called in the literature the “correlation factor,” has been represented by a Gaussian function,

\[
a_s \propto \exp\left[ -2 \ln 2 (\vartheta_{1/2} - 180)^2 / \vartheta_{1/2}^2 \right],
\]

where \( \vartheta_{1/2} \) is the correlation width. This form follows from the Wannier-type theories [11,12] in which the angular variation near the Wannier saddle decouples from the radial motion [13] and can be described by the ground-state wave function of a harmonic oscillator. These models are expected to be valid only at small excess energies. However, it turned out that the Gaussian form may be used as a useful approximation to describe several experiments at higher excess energy [10]. More recently Kheifets and Bray [6] supported the validity of the Gaussian parametrization via a fully numerical calculation in the range of excess energy 3–80 eV.

The measurement of the TDCCS in equal energy sharing becomes increasingly difficult as \( E \) increases. Indeed, the total DPI cross section rises from threshold up to its maximum value at \( E = 20 \) eV and then rapidly decreases [3]. Moreover, the equal-energy-sharing kinematic condition corresponds to the minimum of the energy distribution \( d\sigma/dE_1 \) (see, for example, Fig. 7 in [5]). By taking advantage of the high flux of the Gas-phase photoemission beamline at the Elettra storage ring and the efficiency of the multicoincidence experimental chamber at the same kinetic energies. The overlap of two nearby analyzers. Therefore all the experimental data are internormalized and can be reported on the same relative scale. This can be checked by observing that the same coincidence yield is measured for different configurations of the analyzers corresponding to the same kinematics [for example \((\vartheta_1 = 0^\circ, \vartheta_2 = 120^\circ), (150^\circ, 180^\circ)\) and \((\vartheta_1 = 0^\circ, 30^\circ, 60^\circ, \vartheta_2 = 180^\circ)\)].

The experiments were performed at \( h\nu = 99 \) and 159 eV using the first harmonic of the undulator. The photoelectron angular distribution of \( \text{He}(1s) \) at these photon energies confirmed that within the uncertainty of the measurements the incident radiation is fully linearly polarized along the \( x \) axis.

With a photon flux of \( 1.4 \times 10^{13} \) photons/s (measured by an IRS photodiode placed behind the end station) and a residual pressure in the chamber of about \( 7 \times 10^{-5} \) Torr the coincidence count rate at \( E = 80 \) eV was about 10 MHz per pair of detectors and the true-to-random ratio always better than 10. The total acquisition time in the case of the measurements at \( E = 80 \) eV was \( \approx 13 \) h.

The TDCCS measured at \( E = 20 \) and 80 eV are reported in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. The experiments are compared with the predictions of the convergent close-coupling theory (CCC) theory [22,23] and the three-body Coulomb wave method including virtual states (VS-3C) [24,25].

The CCC method is a fully numerical approach and relies on intensive computation. For the final state it solves the Schrödinger equation for the system of a photoelectron scattering on the \( \text{He}^+ \) ion by employing the close-coupling expansion of the total wave function. The DPI results from the electron-impact ionization of the \( \text{He}^+ \) ion. The initial state is represented by a highly correlated Hylleraas-type wave function. The CCC integrated DPI cross sections agree with the experiments over a broad energy range [26]. The use of a highly correlated ground-state wave function ensures that the results have insignificant dependence on the three gauges. Therefore only the velocity gauge calculation is shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b).

In the VS-3C method [25] the three-body Hilbert space is subdivided into two regions. In the “inner” or “short-range” region in which the potential energy \( (U) \) dominates over the kinetic energy \( (K) \), the two electrons occupy all the virtual states off the two-body energy shell, while conserving the total energy. In the “far zone,” where the asymptotic regime \( (K > U) \) is reached, the virtual states are matched smoothly to the well-known 3C wave function [24] to achieve correct asymptotic behavior. For the initial state a correlated wave function that fulfills the cusp condition has been adopted. In all cases considered so far [25], the VS-3C calculations turned out to be gauge dependent, but not as strongly as when using the 3C model. In particular, the calculations per-
formed with the VS-3C method the length and velocity form differ mainly in magnitude, but less in shape. This is the case for the results presented in this paper and hence we show only the cross sections evaluated in the velocity form. The VS-3C calculations are in general in better agreement with the experimental data than calculations based on the 3C wave function only. The latter are not presented here for the sake of clarity of figures. Both the experiments and the VS-3C calculation have been normalized to the CCC calculation at $\theta_1 = 50^\circ$ and $\theta_2 = 240^\circ$ and $265^\circ$ at $E = 20$ and 80 eV, respectively. An acceptable agreement between theories and experiments in both shape and relative intensity is observed for the three measured TDCSs at $E = 20$ eV. Similar agreement is observed at $E = 80$ eV, although it appears that a slightly different relative intensity is predicted by the two theories when $\theta_1$ changes from $0^\circ$ to $60^\circ$. In the top left corner of each panel the experimental data are reported in polar plots and compared with the results of a fit based on the Gaussian ansatz [11,12] for the $a_u$ amplitude. For each excess energy the fit to the full set of measured TDCSs depends only on two parameters: a scaling factor and the correlation width $\tilde{q}_{1/2}$, which appears in Eq. (2). The best values for the correlation widths are $90 \pm 3$ and $120 \pm 4$ for $E = 20$ and 80 eV, respectively. The value obtained at $E = 20$ eV is in good agreement with the results of previous measurements collected in Table I. This rules out major experimental artifacts in the measurements of the present TDCS.

The main information on the reaction mechanism and many-electron correlations is contained in the amplitude $a_u$. The $|a_u|^2$ extracted from the TDCSs calculated by the two models are compared with the experimental ones and with the

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline
Ref. [4] & $90.4 \pm 4$ \\
Ref. [18] & $90.9 \pm 2$ \\
Ref. [9] & $91 \pm 2$ \\
Ref. [19] & $91.6 \pm 2$ \\
Ref. [20] & $90.2 \pm 2$ \\
Ref. [21] & $91 \pm 3$ \\
Present work & $90 \pm 3$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{The $\tilde{q}_{1/2}$ values of the Gaussian function fitted to different sets of experimental data at $E = 20$ eV.}
\end{table}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{fig1.png}
\caption{He TDCSs for $E = 20$ eV, $E_1 = E_2 = 10$ eV (a) and $E = 80$ eV, $E_1 = E_2 = 40$ eV (b). The experimental TDCSs are compared with the predictions of the CCC (dashed lines) and VS-3C (dotted line) models in the velocity form. In the top left corner of each panel the experimental TDCSs are reported also in polar plots and compared with the results of the fit using Eq. (1) with the amplitude represented by the Gaussian function in Eq. (2).}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{fig2.png}
\caption{In this case $E = 18.6$ eV.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{fig3.png}
\caption{$|a_u|^2$ extracted from the experimental data compared with the ones predicted by the CCC (dashed line) and VS-3C (dotted line) models. The full line is the best fit to the experimental data of a Gaussian function with $\tilde{q}_{1/2} = 90 \pm 3$ and $120 \pm 4$ for $E = 20$ and 80 eV, respectively.}
\end{figure}
the Gaussian functions that provide the best fit to the experiments in Fig. 2. At the $\theta_{12}$ values where multiple experimental determinations exist a weighted average has been calculated and reported in Fig. 2. Only data relative to $\theta_{12} \approx 170^\circ$ have been represented. The amplitudes extracted by the CCC calculations are in fair agreement with the experiments and theory to only one parameter: the value of $\theta_{12}$. Whether the use of the Gaussian ansatz might be extended to higher excess energy, where the motion in the continuum of the two electrons is expected to become less correlated, is still a matter of investigation.

In conclusion, we have extended the measurements of the TDCS of He in equal-energy-sharing conditions up to $E = 80$ eV. We have shown that an efficient comparison between experiment and theory can be achieved using $|a_g|^2$ instead of the TDCS. Moreover, the Gaussian ansatz appears to be a suitable representation of the $a_g$ amplitude up to this energy. This confirms the numerical results of Kheifets and Bray [6]. The Gaussian representation of the $a_g$ amplitude is a very useful tool to reduce the comparison among different experiments and theory to only one parameter: the value of $\theta_{12}$. Whether the use of the Gaussian ansatz might be extended to higher excess energy, where the motion in the continuum of the two electrons is expected to become less correlated, is still a matter of investigation.
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\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{E} & 20 eV & 80 eV \\
\hline
\textbf{Experiment} & 90±3 & 120±4 \\
\textbf{CCC} & 90.6 & 117 \\
\textbf{VS-3C} & 103 & 108 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{The $\theta_{12}$ values of the Gaussian function fitted to the present experimental data and to the theoretical amplitudes.}
\end{table}