Substrate-determined exchange interactions between an STM tip and an adatom
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By performing ab initio calculations, we reveal an unexpected behavior of the exchange interactions between the Fe STM tip and the Fe adatom on Cu(001) and on a Cu2N monolayer on Cu(001) surfaces [denoted as Cu2N/Cu(001)]. A tip-adatom distance-dependent antiferromagnetic-ferromagnetic transition and antiferromagnetic exchange interactions between the tip and the adatom are found for these two junctions, respectively. We demonstrate that the different exchange interactions in these systems are determined by the competition between the tip-adatom and the adatom-substrate interactions. Based on transport calculations, we found that the spin polarization and magnetoresistance in the junction on the Cu(001) system and on the Cu2N/Cu(001) system depend on the tip-adatom distance.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Exchange interactions arise from direct overlap of wave functions or indirect interactions such as superexchange and Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida interactions [1–6], and they play vital roles in determining the magnetic properties of nanostructures. Exchange interactions between magnetic adatoms on substrates are sensitive to their local environments. By introducing neighboring adatoms, spin states [7,8], magnetic anisotropy [9–11], and the Kondo effect [12–16] of magnetic adatoms can be controlled because of modified exchange interactions between them. Moreover, due to the interactions between the adatom and the substrate, the electronic properties of the substrates have significant influence on the magnetic ordering in monolayers [17], in atomic chains [18–20], and on the exchange interactions of impurities [21] and supported dimers [22]. However, due to discrete distances on lattices, measuring the exchange interactions between magnetic adatoms on substrates gives no access to all possible separations.

Equipped with a magnetic tip, the exchange forces [23–25] and interactions [26,27] between the scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) tip and the magnetic sample can be measured and also tuned by varying the tip-substrate distances. Based on experimental and theoretical studies, it was reported that spin states [19,26,27], the Kondo effect [28–33], and magnetic anisotropy [34,35] of nanostructures can be manipulated by tuning the interactions between the tip and the adatom. Most of these investigations paid attention to the interactions between the tip and the adatom; however, the effect of adatom-substrate interactions, i.e., the substrate effect, on the tip-adatom coupling has not been investigated yet. Comprehensive studies are therefore needed to understand the substrate effect on the interactions between the tip and the adatom.

In this paper, we address this issue by performing comprehensive ab initio studies which clearly show that the exchange interactions between a magnetic STM tip and a magnetic adatom are strongly affected by adatom-substrate interactions. As an example, we choose the Cu(001) and the Cu2N/Cu(001) substrates as typical models. We focused particular attention on the magnetic coupling between the Fe tip and the Fe adatom on these surfaces. The possibility of tuning the coupling in the tip-adatom junction via a sensible choice of the tip-substrate separation is demonstrated. An antiferromagnetic-ferromagnetic transition and antiferromagnetic exchange interactions were found in the junctions on the Cu(001) and Cu2N/Cu(001) surfaces, respectively. We reveal that the substrate effect on the coupling is caused by the hybridization of electronic states between the adatom and the substrate, which depends on the tip-substrate distance.

II. CALCULATION METHODS

Our calculations were performed within the framework of density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) [36,37] with the projector augmented-wave potentials and the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) developed by Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof. The basis set contained plane waves with a kinetic energy cutoff of 520 eV, and the total energy was converged to $10^{-7}$ eV. The GGA+$U$ method [38] with different effective $U$ values has also been applied to the Cu2N/Cu(001) system, and the main results remain unchanged [39]. All geometries were optimized without any symmetry constraint until all residual forces on each atom were less than 0.01 eV/Å.

A $3 \times 3$ supercell ($10.91 \times 10.91$ Å$^2$) was employed in all calculations, and a vacuum layer of about 15 Å, perpendicular to the surface slab, was used to avoid interactions with neighboring supercells. The Cu(001) system consists of five bare Cu(001) layers which contain 18 Cu atoms per layer, with an additional $c(2 \times 2)$-N-Cu(100) molecular network (that is, the Cu2N monolayer) on one side for the Cu(001) case [denoted as Cu2N/Cu(001)]. The Fe atom is located at the hollow site of the Cu(001) system [40], and it resides on top of the Cu site of the Cu2N/Cu(001) one, forming covalent bonds with the two neighboring N atoms [35,41–47]. To calculate the exchange interaction between the Fe STM tip and the Fe adatom on the...
surfaces, we used a pyramidal cluster model for the STM tip [48], which contains nine Cu atoms and one Fe atom as the apex of the tip. Such a tip can be obtained in experiments by attaching atoms to the tip apex. We have used this model in our previous studies [27,35].

The transmission calculations were performed with the nanodcal code [49], which combines the DFT with nonequilibrium Green’s function formalism. In transmission calculations, we used a double-\(\xi\) polarized basis set for all atoms and 10×10 in-plane \(k\) points.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 represents the changes in the exchange energy when the Fe tip is vertically displaced towards the Fe adatom on the Cu(001) or Cu₂N/Cu(001) surface. Here, the exchange energy is defined as \(E_{ex} = E_P - E_{AP}\), where \(E_P\) (\(E_{AP}\)) is the total energy of the system with the spin direction of the tip and the adatom in parallel (antiparallel) alignment, denoted as the P (AP) configuration. It was reported by STM experiments that the spin direction of a single Fe adatom [41] or the Fe atomic chain [19] on the Cu₂N/Cu(001) surface is in plane. As pointed out in our previous publication [35], however, when the Fe tip is positioned less than 5 Å above the Fe chain on the Cu₂N/Cu(001) surface, the spin direction of the tip and the chain is out of plane and in a collinear alignment. Therefore, in this paper, we consider only collinear spin alignment calculations. The spin direction of the tip apex is fixed to be spin up, while that of the adatom can be flipped from spin up (P configuration) to spin down (AP configuration) [50]. In low-temperature STM experiments, the spin direction of the tip can be stabilized with an external magnetic field [51]. We focus on the transition between the P and AP configurations. For the Cu(001) surface, the tip-adatom distance-dependent exchange energy shows an antiferromagnetic-ferromagnetic transition. It goes from around zero at large tip-adatom distances to its maximum positive value at 3.8 Å (AP configuration) and then comes to negative values at a tip-adatom distance of 3 Å (P configuration). For the Cu₂N/Cu(001) surface, on the contrary, only the AP spin configuration between the Fe tip and the Fe adatom was found, with the exchange energy increasing with decreasing tip-adatom distance.

Two interactions exist in these systems, namely, (i) the interaction between the Fe tip and the Fe adatom, and (ii) the substrate effect, or the interaction between the Fe adatom and the Cu(001) or Cu₂N/Cu(001) substrate. The present results suggest that the substrate has an important effect on the interaction between the tip and the adatom. Figure 2(a) shows the \(lm\)-decomposed density of states (DOS) projected on the single Fe adatom on the Cu(001) surface, without the tip. The spin-down \(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbital of the Fe adatom is nearly half occupied, while other spin-down \(d\) orbitals are nearly empty. Moreover, the \(d_{z^2}\) and \(d_{xz}\) orbitals are degenerated.

As the Fe tip approaches the substrate, it interacts with the Fe adatom on the Cu(001) surface. In order to reveal the physics behind the substrate effect on the exchange coupling between the tip and the adatom, the projected density of states (PDOS) of the Fe adatom on the Cu(001) surface is plotted in Fig. 2. At a tip-adatom distance of 3 Å (P configuration), the direct interaction between them greatly increases due to the strong overlapping of their wave functions, and it is mainly determined by \(d_{z^2}\), \(d_{xy}\), and \(d_{xz}\) orbitals. Only the out-of-plane orbitals (\(d_{xz}\), \(d_{yz}\), and \(d_{z^2}\)) of the adatom for the P and AP configurations are plotted in the right panel of Fig. 2. For easy comparison with those in the P configuration, the majority and minority parts of the Fe adatom in the AP configuration [Fig. 2(b)] are turned upside down [50]. From Fig. 2(b) it can be observed that, in the AP configuration, the spin-down \(d_{z^2}\) and \(d_{xy}\) orbitals of the Fe adatom appear just above the Fermi level \(E_F\), and they exhibit a single-atom-like peak. However, the PDOS of the spin-down \(d_{z^2}\) (\(d_{xy}\)) orbital of the Fe adatom in the P configuration in Fig. 2(c) splits into bonding-antibonding states localized at \(-0.5\) and \(+0.24\) eV (\(-0.48\) and \(+0.52\) eV), respectively. Similar changes in PDOS can also be found for the Fe tip. The bonding state is more tightly bound, while the antibonding state is energetically destabilized. This corresponds to the Alexander-Anderson model [52,53], which predicts a bonding-antibonding state splitting for a ferromagnetic dimer. Moreover, compared with the AP configuration, the \(d_{z^2}\) (\(d_{xy}\)) orbital in the P configuration moves to even lower energy, which decreases the total energy of the system and makes the P configuration energetically more favorable.

Increasing the tip-adatom separation to 4 Å, compared to Fig. 2(a), we find that the spin-up \(d_{z^2}\) orbital of the Fe adatom is still half filled but slightly shifted towards the Fermi level [Fig. 3(a)], while the spin-down \(d_{z^2}\) or \(d_{xy}\) orbitals of the Fe tip are half filled [Fig. 3(b)]. For such a separation, the direct interaction between the tip and the adatom is weak. The in-plane \(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbital of the Fe adatom first hybridizes with its own spherically symmetrical \(s\) orbital (Fig. 3, left panel) and then interacts with the \(p_x\) (\(p_y\)) orbitals of the Fe tip, which hybridize with its own \(d_{z^2}\) (\(d_{xy}\)) orbital (Fig. 3, right panel). This superexchange-like interaction is mediated by the \(sp\) electrons of the tip and the adatom. Herein electron tunneling between them will play a significant role. As electrons from the half-occupied \(d_{z^2}\) orbital of the Fe tip, which hybridizes with its \(p_x\) orbital, interact with the half-occupied \(d_{z^2}\) orbital of the Fe adatom via its \(s\) orbital, they will tend to...
align their spins antiparallel to lower the total energy of the system, as predicted by Goodenough-Kanamori rules [54–56] (superexchange interaction is antiferromagnetic if the electron transfer occurs between half-filled orbitals). Therefore, the interaction between the Fe tip and the Fe adatom on the Cu(001) surface is based on an antiferromagnetic coupling for this tip-adatom separation.

For the Fe adatom on the Cu$_2$N/Cu(001) surface, in contrast to that of the Cu(001) surface [Fig. 2(a)], the spin-down $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital of the Fe adatom on the Cu$_2$N/Cu(001) surface is fully occupied, and the $d_{z^2}$ orbital is half occupied [Fig. 4(a)]. This is due to a strong hybridization between the $d$ states of the Fe adatom and the $p$ states of two neighboring N atoms. The degeneracy of $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ orbitals of the Fe adatom is removed, especially for the spin-up $d_{yz}$ orbital, which appears just above the Fermi level. Moreover, the two neighboring N atoms of the Fe atom have an induced magnetic moment of 0.1 $\mu_B$, which is much larger than that of 0.03 $\mu_B$,

FIG. 2. Projected $d$-orbital density of states (PDOS) for the Cu(001) system. (a) PDOS for the Fe adatom without the tip. PDOS for the Fe adatom at a tip-adatom separation of 3 Å in (b) the AP configuration and (c) the P configuration.
FIG. 4. PDOS for the Cu$_2$N/Cu(001) system. (a) PDOS for the Fe adatom without the STM tip. PDOS for (b) the Fe adatom and (c) the Fe tip apex at a tip-adatom separation of 3 Å in the AP configurations.

for Cu atoms around the Fe atom in the Cu(001) system. Since the Fe atom is embedded in the molecular network of the Cu$_2$N/Cu(001) surface, the Alexander-Anderson model [52,53], which describes the interaction of two magnetic atoms on neighboring sites in a free-electron-like host metal, is not applicable for the Cu$_2$N/Cu(001) system.

At larger tip-adatom separations, similar to that for the Cu(001) system, superexchange-like interactions between the tip and the adatom through $sp$-$d$ hybridization can also be observed in the Cu$_2$N/Cu(001) system (not shown), which result in the effective AP configurations. Given that the in-plane spin-up $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital of the Fe adatom is nearly unchanged even at short tip-adatom separations, the contributions to the electron transfer through the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital can be safely neglected. The negligible contribution of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital to the tunneling current in a biased system has been reported for the Fe(110) surface combined with the Fe tip [57]. The $d_{z^2}$ and $d_{yz}$ orbitals of the Fe atoms are the key player in the arrangement of the spin configuration between the tip and the adatom. Here, we present only the PDOS for out-of-plane orbitals of the Fe tip and the Fe adatom at a tip-adatom distance of 3 Å [Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)]. One can find that the $d_{z^2}$ orbitals near the Fermi level are mostly involved in the tip-adatom electron transfer. The spin-up $d_{z^2}$ orbital of the Fe adatom shifts to the lower energy and enhances its occupancy to nearly fully filled due to the strong coupling with the Fe tip. The electron transfer from the fully occupied spin-up $d_{z^2}$ orbital of the adatom to empty orbitals of the Fe tip near the Fermi level tends to align their spins antiparallel, lowering the total energy of the system. On the other hand, the fully occupied spin-down $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital of the Fe adatom moves up towards the Fermi level, and its intensity increases with decreasing tip-adatom distance. Additionally, it hybridizes with the half-occupied spin-down $d_{x^2}$ ($d_{z^2}$) orbitals of the Fe tip. The exchange interaction due to the electron transfer from the fully occupied spin-down $d_{x^2}$ of the adatom to the half-occupied $d_{x^2}$ ($d_{y^2}$) orbitals of the tip starts to increase and gives rise to a ferromagnetic configuration. However, after taking a close look at the electron transfer, as shown in Fig. 5(a), it can be found that the spin-up transmission coefficient is larger than that of the spin-down part. This means that the electron transfer probability for spin-up states from the tip to the adatom is larger than that for spin-down states. As above, the former case results in an antiferromagnetic coupling between the tip and the adatom, while the latter case favors a ferromagnetic coupling. Thus, an effective AP spin configuration between the tip and the adatom is more energetically preferable, as shown in Fig. 1.

We now analyze the substrate effect on the electron transfer for two systems. The zero-bias transmission coefficients between the Fe tip and the Fe adatom on the Cu(001) and Cu$_2$N/Cu(001) surfaces at a tip-adatom distance of 3 Å (4 Å) in the P and AP spin configurations are presented in Fig. 5. At a tip-adatom separation of 3 Å, for the Cu(001) system, the P configuration is the ground state, while the AP configuration has a lower energy for the Cu$_2$N/Cu(001) system. At first glance, it can be seen that for all spin configurations the transmission coefficients of the Cu(001) system are larger than those of the Cu$_2$N/Cu(001) system. In the former case, electrons hop from the Fe tip to the Fe adatom on the metallic surface, while for the latter case electrons hop from the Fe tip to the Fe adatom, which forms covalent bonds with the N atoms on the Cu$_2$N/Cu(001) surface. Therefore, electrons...
in the junction on the Cu(001) system have a larger transfer probability than those on the Cu$_2$N/Cu(001) system.

For the P configuration in the Cu(001) system [Fig. 5(b)], the spin-up transmission at the Fermi level $E_F$ is around 1, which shows that only one channel contributes to the transmission. This can be further confirmed from Fig. 5(d), which shows that the spin-up transmission at the Fermi level is nearly unchanged with an increased tip-adatom distance of 4 Å. One can clearly relate various peaks in the transmission with peaks in the PDOS of Fig. 2. The spin-down transmission at $E_F$ is in the range of 2 to 3 and significantly larger than the one for spin-up spins, which means that more than one channel is involved in the electron transfer. This is due to the fact that for the spin-down part, there are significant contributions to the PDOS from $s$ and $d$ electrons. For spin-up electrons, the contribution from $d$ electrons is very small, and the only possible channel is the $s$ channel. Therefore, a large spin polarization can be expected. The spin polarization ratio is defined as $P = (T_\uparrow - T_\downarrow) / (T_\uparrow + T_\downarrow)$, where $T_\uparrow$ ($T_\downarrow$) are the transmission coefficients for all spin-up (spin-down) states at $E_F$. In contrast to the positive spin polarization for single Fe atoms on the Cu(001) surface [58], it can be observed from Fig. 5(b) that a large negative spin polarization, $P = -43\%$, is obtained. This can be related to the strong hybridization of $d$ states between the tip and the adatom at the Fermi level (see Fig. 2). Moreover, the spin polarization changes sign at 0.25 eV below the Fermi level. The negative spin polarization may be achieved under finite bias voltage; however, that is beyond the scope of this paper. When the tip-adatom distance increases to 4 Å [Fig. 5(d); the ground state is the AP configuration], however, only a smaller positive spin polarization, $P = +4\%$, is observed with strongly reduced contributions from spin-down states. This is because the $sp$ states show strong dispersion character and decay slowly in the vacuum, while the $d$ states are more localized and decay fast in the vacuum. As for the AP configuration of the Cu$_2$N/Cu(001) system with 3 Å [Fig. 5(a)], the spin-up transmission coefficient at $E_F$ is slightly larger than that of spin down, resulting in a smaller positive spin polarization, $P = +10\%$. As the tip-adatom distance increases to 4 Å [Fig. 5(c); AP configuration], the transmission coefficient for spin-up states at $E_F$ is nearly unchanged, while that for the spin-down states is significantly reduced, and a larger spin polarization, $P = +40\%$, is observed. This analysis further supports the conclusion that for the Cu$_2$N system the transfer probability for spin-up electrons is larger than that for the spin-down electrons.

The transmission coefficients for the AP configuration of the Cu(001) system and the P configuration of the Cu$_2$N/Cu(001) system at a tip-adatom separation of 3 Å (4 Å) have also been calculated, as shown in Fig. 5. At a tip-adatom separation of 3 Å, in the Cu(001) system, for the AP configuration, the spin-up transmission coefficient at $E_F$ is only slightly smaller than that of the spin down, in contrast to those in the P configuration. A similar situation is also observed for the Cu$_2$N system in the P configuration. Since each of these two systems has different transmissions for the P and AP configurations, a large magnetoresistance ratio can be expected. The zero-bias magnetoresistance ratio is defined as $R_{MR} = (T_P - T_{AP}) / T_{AP}$, with $T_P$ ($T_{AP}$) being the transmission at $E_F$ for the P (AP) configuration. We obtain $R_{MR} = 133\%$. 

FIG. 5. Zero-bias transmission coefficients for the junction on the Cu$_2$N/Cu(001) system (left panel) for the P and AP alignments at a tip-adatom distance of (a) 3 Å and (b) 4 Å and those on the Cu(001) system (right panel) for the P and AP alignments at a tip-adatom distance of (c) 3 Å and (d) 4 Å.
Finally, it is worth noting that the antiferromagnetic exchange interaction between the Fe adatom and the Fe tip could lead to the formation of a singlet state [28]; that is, atoms could be entangled. Thus, our results suggest that for the junction on Cu$_2$N/Cu(001), entanglement could exist for a large range of the tip-atom separation due to the strong antiferromagnetic coupling, while on Cu(001) it could be possible to switch the entanglement on and off by changing the tip-atom separation. The entanglement temperature $T_e$ [47,59,60] (proportional to the exchange interaction) could be quite high in the Fe-Fe junctions.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

In our results, we have demonstrated that the interactions between the adatom and the substrate have significant effects on the exchange interactions between the Fe tip and the Fe adatom. An antiferromagnetic-ferromagnetic transition and antiferromagnetic exchange interactions between the tip and the adatom in junctions on Cu(001) and Cu$_2$N/Cu(001) were revealed, respectively. The physics behind these phenomena is related to the competition between the coupling of the adatom with the substrate and the coupling of the tip with the adatom. Spin-dependent transmission properties have been calculated. We found large differences in spin polarization and magnetoresistance for junctions on the Cu(001) and Cu$_2$N/Cu(001) surfaces.
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[39] At a tip-adatom separation of 3 Å, as $U = 2.0$ eV, the energy difference between the P and AP configurations $E_{P-AP}$ is 258.56 meV, and it is 97.07 meV for $U = 5.0$ eV. For a separation of 4 Å, $E_{P-AP} = 80.65$ meV for $U = 2.0$ eV, and it is 33.18 meV for $U = 5.0$ eV.

[40] We also checked our results for the Fe atom adsorbed on top of the Cu atom on the Cu(001) surface; our main results do not change.


[50] When the spin direction of the Fe adatom is set to be spin up, the majority parts of $d$ states are fully occupied, while minority parts are only partially occupied. As the spin direction is flipped from spin up to spin down, the majority parts of $d$ states become partially occupied, while the minority parts are fully occupied.


