Magnetic Moments at the Surface of Antiferromagnetic NiO(100)
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The orientation of magnetic moments at the (100) surface of antiferromagnetic NiO single crystals is studied by x-ray linear magnetic dichroism in photoemission microscopy. T domains are observed terminating at the surface, with domain boundaries running mostly along in-plane [10] directions. From the detailed polarization dependence we find that the magnetic surface structure of a cleaved crystal is bulk terminated. This is in contrast to sputtered surfaces, where magnetic moments lie within the surface plane, forming a magnetically relaxed structure. These findings are of importance for understanding the exchange bias phenomenon.

For any magnetic material the break of symmetry and reduced coordination at the surface may cause a deviation of the magnetic state at the surface from a bulk termination. Phenomena of this type have been reported for ferromagnetic surfaces, but they may occur equally well for antiferromagnetic (AF) surfaces. The influence of the surface may be manifest in a change of the Néel temperature, the magnitude and orientation of the magnetic moment, or even in a change of the antiferromagnetic structure. In the light of the interesting physical phenomena and also their technical relevance, e.g., in the context of spin electronics [1] and exchange bias [2], our knowledge about the magnetic structure of AF surfaces and interfaces is very limited. Since there is no magnetostatic energy in an antiferromagnet, even the origin of domain formation is a subject of debate. Experimental techniques which establish the AF ground state as such [3–9] can in principle provide information on the details of the magnetic structure, but there are only a few surface sensitive techniques [10–13].

In this Letter we use polarization dependent x-ray absorption [14,15] with spatial resolution [16,17] to image AF domains and to determine the orientation of magnetic moments on a NiO(100) single crystal surface from the polarization dependence. For cleaved surfaces, the observations are consistent with the bulk magnetic structure. For rough surfaces, our data show a deviation of the surface magnetic structure from a bulk termination, i.e., a magnetic surface relaxation.

NiO is a collinear antiferromagnet with ferromagnetic (111) sheets, stacked in an antiferromagnetic order [3–5]. The possible bulk domains are listed in Table I. Domains associated with the four different 111 sheets are labeled T1 through T4. For any T domain Ti, the spins lie within the associated 111 plane, pointing in any of the three [211]-derived directions as listed in Table I. Portions of a crystal with different spin directions are termed S domains, which we denote by a second subscript Tij (j = 1–3).

To obtain a spatially resolved measure for the x-ray absorption coefficient, we measure the local electron yield, which is proportional to the local absorption coefficient [18], in a photoemission electron microscope (PEEM). In such a microscope, electrons excited from the sample are transferred by an electron-optical system to generate an enlarged map of the emission probability on a channel plate with fluorescent screen [19]. Contrast arises from a variation of the absorption coefficient connected to magnetic linear dichroism. For the large field of view used here the resolution was about 1 μm. For excitation we used synchrotron radiation generated by the elliptical undulator UE 56/1 at the new BESSY II storage ring in Berlin [20] or from BW3 at HASYLAB in Hamburg. NiO crystals were cleaved either in situ in UHV or ex situ immediately before insertion into the microscope yielding consistent results. In some cases surfaces were sputtered by 500 eV Ar ions before imaging. Scanning tunneling microscopy images of sputtered NiO(100) showed large flat terraces 1–2 μm wide, separated by steps about 1 nm high. Spin-resolved inelastic electron scattering [21] on such a NiO surface shows all spectral features related to bulk transitions in agreement with data for cleaved surfaces; surface loss features are still weakly present with this preparation.

The x-ray absorption spectrum of NiO shows rich structures which arise from the electrostatic interactions (Coulomb and exchange) between core hole and localized valence electrons with spin and orbital momentum. The angular dependent part of the spectrum is given by (3 cos2θ–1)I(2) where θ is the angle between magnetic
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moment and light polarization. $I^{(2)}$ describes its spectral shape [15]. For NiO the angular dependence is best detected in the doublet structure of the 2$p_{1/2}$ absorption edge [15] occurring at photon energies of 871.2 and 872.2 eV.

Figure 1 shows an image of the asymmetry $A = (I_1 - I_2)/(I_1 + I_2)$, where $I_1(x,y)$ and $I_2(x,y)$ are intensity maps obtained for the two photon energies of the Ni 2$p_{1/2}$ absorption feature of NiO [22]. The bright and dark areas on the image indicate smaller and larger angles between magnetic moments and light polarization. This demonstrates the presence of different AF domains at the surface. The domain boundaries run along [001] directions in the surface. The widths of the domains are a few tens of μm, and they extend over much larger distances. The domains are not affected by major defects on the surface, which shows that their topology at the surface is largely determined by the bulk domain structure. The NiO 2$p_{1/2}$ absorption spectrum derived from a series of images shows the well-known shape with two peaks at 871.2 and 872.2 eV photon energy [15]. The magnetic dichroism in these two features amounts to 5.1 and $-1.6\%$, respectively.

To further corroborate this finding, we show in Fig. 2 the temperature dependence of the magnetic contrast. The data show an essentially parabolic temperature dependence, and show surface ordering within experimental uncertainty at the bulk Néel temperature. This result is in good agreement with the temperature dependence of magnetic superstructure reflections in LEED [23]. Both experiments yield a signal proportional to the square of the AF order parameter. On cooling down, the domain pattern reappears without changes below the Néel temperature. The unchanged domain pattern suggests that size and topology of the domains are largely governed by defects and stresses which are incorporated in the crystal probably already during growth.

To characterize the orientation of the magnetic moments at the surface, we study the contrast between AF domains as a function of light polarization. By rotating the sample azimuthally under the microscope, the angle dependent part of the absorption spectrum changes differently for different domains, leading to a change of the contrast. Figure 3 shows the expected variation with azimuthal sample orientation with respect to the electric field as given by the polarization dependence $(3 \cos^2 \theta - 1)$, assuming bulk termination of the magnetic structure. Since there are 12 AF domains, one has 12 curves each for $s$ and $p$ polarization. For $s$ polarization, the 12 domains form six pairs with the same angular dependence, three of which are shown in Fig. 3a. The difference between any of the curves is a measure for the AF contrast between these domains. For obliquely incident $p$-polarized light, the contrast varies in a different fashion, as shown in Fig. 3b. From the azimuthal orientations for which the AF contrast disappears, or where it has a maximum, we identify which domains are present.

Figure 4 shows the experimental variation of the contrast between different AF domains with azimuthal orientation, determined from a series of asymmetry images excited with $s$-polarized light. Figure 4a shows that the contrast disappears at angles 90° apart. At those positions where the contrast vanishes, the light polarization is parallel to a [001] direction within the surface plane. As shown in Fig. 3a, the variation of the angular dependent part is smaller for $T_{11}$ domains than for $T_{12}$ and $T_{13}$ and the associated curves cross each other at angles of $-90°, -57°, 25°, 90°, etc.$, i.e., for orientations separated by angles different from 90°. Therefore the domains can be related to either $T_{11}$ (large component of moment perpendicular to surface) or to $T_{12}$ and/or $T_{13}$ domains (large in-plane component of magnetic moment). The maximum contrast
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\caption{AF domains on a cleaved NiO(100) surface. Double-headed arrow shows light polarization, and arrow at image indicates the [001] direction in the surface plane. The field of view is 300 μm.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Contrast between NiO antiferromagnetic domains as a function of temperature, derived from AF domain PEEM images; filled symbols for different temperature runs. Open triangles show temperature dependence of magnetic superstructure reflections in LEED [23]; stars show neutron scattering data [4].}
\end{figure}
FIG. 3. Expected magnitude of the angle dependent signal in the absorption spectrum for different AF domains of NiO as a function of azimuthal crystal orientation (measured by $\phi$) for a (100) surface. The angle dependent part varies as a $3 \cos^2 \theta - 1$, where $\theta$ is the angle between electric field and magnetic moment. $0^\circ$ corresponds to a [001] direction coinciding with the plane of incidence. The numbers $ij$ refer to the domains given in Table I, the first for the $T$ domain and the second for the $S$ domain. (a) $s$-polarized excitation (curves for the other domains are shifted by $90^\circ \pm$ with respect to those shown); (b) $p$-polarized excitation (the curve for $T_{12}$ is shifted by $180^\circ \pm$ from $T_{13}$), for bulk termination; dotted line labeled 12 is for out-of-plane component set to zero. 

The exchange bias is known to decrease with increased roughness of the antiferromagnetic surface [24]. To address this problem, we studied the NiO roughened by sputtering. With $s$ polarized light we still observe AF domain contrast with a similar angular dependence as discussed above, although the contrast is reduced in comparison to cleaved surfaces. However, there was no domain contrast observable with $p$ polarized light. Figure 3b shows the variation of the angular dependent term for $T_{1j}$ domains $T_{23}/T_{33}$, respectively. The mean branching ratio (averaged over both domains) changes between $0^\circ$ and $+90^\circ$, and the maximum in one curve does not coincide with the minimum of the other. This demonstrates that the angle between the magnetic moments projected on the surface is not $90^\circ$, since then the mean branching ratio should be identical at all positions where the contrast disappears. These findings confirm our assignment of the experimental contrast to domains with large in-plane components of the magnetic moments.
for $p$-polarized light, assuming bulk termination. The well separated curves for $T_{11}$ and $T_{22,33}$ indicate that these domains should always be distinguishable. The null contrast shows that the out-of-plane components of the magnetic moments are quenched for the domains present on the surface: in this case the magnetic moments are at angles between 90° and 75° to the electric field, depending on the azimuth. The remaining contrast of only 6% of the maximum is below our detection limit. The quenching of the perpendicular components of the magnetic moments indicates a magnetic surface relaxation of the antiferromagnetic NiO surface.

The size of the domains observed here is much larger than was concluded from measurements on thin films [17]. The reappearance of an unchanged domain pattern after heating above the Néel temperature shows that the domain topography is determined by defects built into the material during growth. Therefore, the growth of a thin film with a large number of nucleation sites will lead to quite different domain patterns. The apparent variation in domain size for different surfaces is relevant for the exchange bias phenomenon, since for a compensated AF surface the domain walls which have uncompensated spins are thought to be the source of the bias [25,26].

We have analyzed the magnetic moment orientations at the surface of antiferromagnetic NiO by spatially resolved polarization dependent x-ray absorption spectroscopy monitored by total electron yield in a photoemission microscope. By utilizing complete control over light polarization offered by a state-of-art synchrotron radiation beam line, a full characterization of AF surfaces is possible, i.e., all 12 domain types possible for bulk NiO can be distinguished. On (100) surfaces of bulk NiO, stripe-shaped $T$ domains up to a few tens of $\mu$m wide are observed, with boundaries running along [001] directions in the surface. For cleaved surfaces, the angular dependence of the AF contrast shows a bulk termination of the magnetic structure. For the examples studied we conclude from the detailed polarization dependence that primarily $S$ domains with large in-plane components of the magnetic moment are present. The domain topology is not affected by macroscopic defects on the surface, and reappears unchanged after heating above the Néel temperature. These findings indicate that the surface domains are governed by bulk domains terminating at the surface. For surfaces with a higher degree of roughness, $s$-polarized excitation reveals an essentially unaffected domain pattern, but no domain contrast is observed with obliquely incident $p$-polarized light, indicating a magnetically relaxed surface.
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